

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/874,856	06/05/2001	Alic Anthony Scott	8579	5088
27752	7590 04/03/2002			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY PATENT DIVISION IVORYDALE TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 474			EXAMINER	
			YU, GINA C	
	NG GROVE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNA	I, OH 45217		1617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/874,856	SCOTT ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gina C. Yu	1617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev	· ·	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 4			

Application/Control Number: 09/874,856

Art Unit: 1617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, line 3, the term "free oil" is vague and indefinite.

Claim 23 is vague and indefinite because it is not clear whether the claim requires both cosmetic fillers and preservatives, or recites alternative limitations.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-7, 9, 12-14, 16-19, 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by Cannell et al. (US 6221389 B1) ("Cannell").

Application/Control Number: 09/874,856

Art Unit: 1617

Cannell discloses a mascara composition comprising 0.133 wt % of lecithin, 1.5 wt % of PVP/eicosene copolymer, and 10 wt % of resin solution in water. See Example 22 in col. 26-27. See instant claims 1-3, 5, 12-14, 24, and 25. Instant claims 9, 16-19, 22, and 23 are met by the mascara formula in Cannell which discloses glyceryl stearate, waxes, iron oxide, disodium cocoamphodipropionate, and paraben preservatives are in the formulation.

Claim 4 is met by the disclosure that the formulation uses ALCOLEC F-100 powered lecithin, which is viewed as oil-free. See col. 4, lines 36 – 42. Claims 6 and 7 are met based on the information that the resin solution used in the mascara formula in Cannell is AVALURE UR450, which is polyester-polyurethane latex. See Bodelin et al. (US 6264933 B1), col. 2, lines 16 – 48.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 09/874,856

Art Unit: 1617

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartholomey et al. (US 5614200) ("Bartholomey") in view of Cannell.

Bartholomey teaches mascara compositions comprising lecithin (Phospholipon 80), which meets the limitations of instant claim 1(a) and 2-4; and ammonia acrylate copolymer (Syntran 5170) which meets the limitations of instant claims 1(c) and 6-8. See Examples; see also the disclosure of fractionated lecithins in col. 5, lines 31-48. Adding film forming agents such as PVP and PVP/VA copolymers is suggested in col. 5, line 49 – 6. See instant claim 1(b). The example formulas in Bartholomey also teaches to use waxes, glyceryl monostearate, water/ethanol solvents, black iron oxide, triglyceride, and preservatives. See instant claims 9 and 12-25. The weight ratio of glycerol monostearate to lecithin as required by instant claims 10-11 are disclosed in col. 5, lines 11 – 30.

Bartholomey fails teach the specific type of PVP copolymers in instant claim 5.

Cannell, discussed above, teaches PVP/eicosene copolymers in the example mascara formula.

Given the general teaching of using film-forming agents such as PVP copolymers in mascara formulation in Bartholomey, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have looked to the prior art such as Cannell for specific types of the copolymers conventionally used in the art.

Art Unit: 1617

# Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 703-308-3951.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on 703-308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Gina C. Yu Patent Examiner March 24, 2002

> MINNA MOEZIE, J.D. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600